



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/503,482	02/14/2000	Yoshinori Takahashi	35.G1872D	6662	
5514 75	5514 7590 09/13/2005			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			GARCIA, GABRIEL I		
NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	·	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/503,482	TAKAHASHI, YOSHINORI
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Gabriel I. Garcia	2624
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
· · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 45,46,48,49 and 51-68 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 46,48,49 and 51-68 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or constants.	wn from consideration.	
	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2000</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>08/782,817</u> . ed in this National Stage
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/503,482 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 45,46,48,49 and 51-68 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Sasaki</u> (U.S. 5,228,118)
 in view of <u>Gase et al</u> (U.S. 5,580,177) and Tokuda
 (JP405181628A).

As to claim 45, <u>Sasaki</u> discloses an information processing apparatus (reads on the data processing device) comprising: an inquirer circuit (see figures 1-2: inquiring means) adapted for making an inquiry about a printer language (e.g. language interpreter) supported by the printer (see figures 1 and 2) connected to the network by sending an inquiry about information

to the network (reads on col. 9, lines 53-65); and a receiver (see column 2, line 60 to column 3, line 13, and/or fig. 4) adapted for receiving information about the printer language supported by the printer, wherein the information about the printer language is sent in response to the inquiry (see column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 13); and displaying circuit (reads on fig. 3, item 41) for displaying the information about the printer language supported by the printer in accordance with information received by the receiver (e.g. col. 7, line 65 through col. 8, lines 1-23). <u>Sasaki</u> discloses changing of the printer from one type to another (see column 2, lines 3-4 and 49-50), and sending a broadcast inquiry to the printer(s) connected to the network (reads on col. 9, lines 53-65).

However, <u>Sasaki</u> does not teach a determiner adapted to determine a presence or an absence of a printer selected by a user connected to a network by inquiring information of the network and activating a function by selection of a printer.

Gase et al (in the same field of endeavor "network printing" teaches a printing network having a plurality of clients (10, 12 and 14) connected to a server (16), and the server (16) is further connected to a plurality of printers (18, 20 and 22) (see figure 1). Gase et al teaches a determiner adapted to send an inquiry (e.g. an inquiry circuit) about

Art Unit: 2624

information to a network in order to determine a presence or an absence of a printer selected by a user connected to the network (see col. 1, lines 43-48, col. 4, lines 35-37, and col. 5. Line 64 thru col. 6, line 17, the selected printer by the user reads on col. 6, lines 45-52); and making an inquiry about a printer language supported by the new added printer connected to the network if the determiner determines the presence of the new added printer connected to the network (i.e., if a new printer is added or connected to the network, a new printer driver must be installed on the client host computer, so that the client will be able to use or print on the newly added printer) (see col. 1, lines 43-48 and col. 6, lines 3-17), activating the inquiry circuit and receiver in response to a selection of a printer (e.g. col. 6, lines 45-52 and col. 8, lines 28-49, by selecting a printer the inquiry is activate).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Sasaki</u> wherein: the information processing apparatus includes a determiner adapted for determining a presence or an absence of a printer selected by the user connected to a network by sending an inquiry, and wherein the inquiry circuit is adapted for making an inquiry about a printer language supported by the printer connected to the network if

Art Unit: 2624

the determination circuit determines the presence of the printer is connected to the network, and activating the inquiry.

It would have been obvious to one person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified network printing system of Sasaki by the teaching of Gase et al because of the following reason(s): (1) to allow the user to known if the selected printer is currently connected to the network and available to receive a job; (2) for the reasons taught by Gase et al (see column 1, lines 43-48 and col. 4, lines 35-37); (3) to determine when the printers available at the host computer are available or unavailable; and (3) to determine when a newly added printer is added or connected to the network, so that the network, clients or the users, will be aware of the newly added or connected printer, and to inquire as to what kind of printer language is supported by the newly added printer, therefore, the users or clients will be able to use or employ the newly added or connected printer.

Neither Sasaki et al. or Gase teaches the printer language supported by the printer is not distinguishable when the determiner detect the presence.

However, Tokuda (in the same field of endeavor, "printing") teaches that it is well known in the art at the time of the invention that the printer language supported by the printer is

not distinguishable when the determiner detects the presence (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the teaching of Sasaki and Gase with the teachings of Tokuda to inform the user that the language detected or determined is not distinguishable because of the following reasons: 1) will inform the user(s) that the detected language is not supported by the printer, allowing the user to send the job to another printer; and 2) will allow the user to request another print language capable to complete the print job or send the print job to another printer within the network.

As to claim 46, <u>Sasaki</u> as modified discloses wherein the determiner determines a presence or an absence of a new printer connected to the network by inquiring about management information base information to the network (see Gase et al, see column 1, lines 43-48).

As to claims 48-49, <u>Sasaki</u> discloses an information processing method. The steps of method claims 48-49 claim subject matter corresponding to and similar to the claimed limitations that are found in the information processing apparatus claims of claims 45-46. Therefore, claims 48-49 are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,482

Art Unit: 2624

As to claims 51-53, <u>Sasaki</u> discloses a computer-readable storage medium for storing a program for implementing an information processing method. The program codes of the computer-readable storage medium of claims 51-53 claim subject matter corresponding to and similar to the claimed limitations that are found in the information processing apparatus claims of claims 45-47. Therefore, claims 51-53 are similarly rejected.

As to claims 54-55, Gase et al teaches a determiner adapted for determining a presence or an absence of a printer connected to a network periodically or to a user's instruction (see column 1, lines 43-64, the user has to send the inquiry by running the NetWare software, which can be run periodically). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Gase et al because of the following reason(s): (1) for the reasons taught by Gase et al (see column 1, lines 43-48); and (2) to determine when a newly added printer is added or connected to the network, so that the network or the clients or the users, will be aware of the newly added or connected printer, and to inquire as to what kind of printer languages are supported by the newly added printer, therefore, the users or clients will be able to use or employ the newly added or connected printer.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,482 Page 8

Art Unit: 2624

As to claims 56-57, <u>Sasaki</u> discloses wherein the printer language is used to represent a print job, and the print data is converted according to the printer language supported by the printer, so that the printer can interpret the print data (i.e. col. 6, lines 46-65).

As to claims 58-61, <u>Sasaki</u> discloses an information processing method. The steps of method claims 58-61 claim subject matter corresponding to and similar to the claimed limitations that are found in the information processing apparatus claims of claims 54-57. Therefore, claims 58-61 are similarly rejected.

As to claims 62-68, <u>Sasaki</u> discloses a computer-readable storage medium for storing a program for implementing an information processing method. The program codes of the computer-readable storage medium of claims 62-68 claim subject matter corresponding to and similar to the claimed limitations that are found in the information processing apparatus claims of claims 54-57. Therefore, claims 62-68 are similarly rejected.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel I. Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7434. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to 571-273-8300. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and

Art Unit: 2624

571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Gabriel I. Garcia

Primary Examiner

PRIMARY L

SOM OURCIA

WHINER

September 5, 2005